	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/007,262	BISTRUP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paula Borden</u> .	(4)	
Date f Interview: 21 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 16-17 and 30-32		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/007,262

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms. Borden that SEQ ID NO: 2, as recited in claim 30 was free of the prior art, and suggested an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. In a return call, Ms. Borden stated htat applicants wished to review any rejections and did not wich to amend the claims at this time.